arXiv:0802.3412v1 [math.RT] 23 Feb 2008

STRUCTURE OF T MODULES AND RESTRICTED DUALS: THE CLASSICAL AND THE QUANTUM CASE

Dijana Jakelić*

Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904

ABSTRACT

A concrete realization of Enright's T modules is obtained. This is used to show their self-duality. As a consequence, the restricted duals of Verma modules are also identified.

1 INTRODUCTION

In [5], T. Enright introduced a completion functor on the category $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{g})$ of representations of a finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Using this functor, he constructed algebraically the fundamental series representations. In [3], V. Deodhar realized the functor via Ore localization and thus gave an explicit way of constructing completions which also enabled him to prove Enright's uniqueness conjecture arising in successive completions. Later in [9], A. Joseph generalized the functor to the Bernstein - Gelfand - Gelfand category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})$ and gave a refinement of the Jantzen conjecture.

As completion is associated with a simple root, the whole process is built up from the sl_2-case. In particular, Enright introduced T modules for sl_2 and showed that, together with Verma modules, they comprise all indecomposable objects of the category $\mathcal{I}(sl_2)$ and every module in this category is a direct sum (not necessary finite) of indecomposable ones. More recently, Y. M. Zou [14] extended

---

*Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521. E-mail: `jakelic@math.ucr.edu`

the notion of completion, Deodhar's approach, and results related to T modules to the quantum case when q is not a root of unity. These extensions go through smoothly with necessary adjustments to accomodate q-integers.

In this paper, we are concerned with an explicit structure of T modules. The necessity for such description arose in our study [7] of the interaction between completions and crystal bases introduced by M. Kashiwara [11]. A concrete realization of T modules is obtained in a self-contained manner by constructing their bases via PBW basis of $U_q(sl_2)$ (Theorem 1). Consequently, the structure of any module in $\mathcal{I}\,(U_q(sl_2))$ becomes completely transparent. Moreover, Theorem 1 provides an elementary proof of [1, Proposition 3.10] and [4, Proposition 4.3].

We study the restricted duals of all objects in the category $\mathcal{I}\,(U_q(sl_2))$ for which this notion is defined (Section 3). In particular, the self-duality of T modules is naturally revealed from the explicit structure obtained in Theorem 1. The dual structure of Verma modules then follows.

Although we present the aforementioned results for the quantum case, the proofs can be carried out similarly for the classical case. The corresponding statement of Theorem 1 in the classical case can be obtained, as usual, by setting $k = \mathbb{C}(q)$ with q transcendental over $\mathbb{C}$, $t = q^h$, $\epsilon = 1$, and letting $q \to 1$. The corresponding statements of the remaining results are equally self-evident.

2 CATEGORY $\mathcal{I}$ AND T MODULES

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra and $(a_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq l}$ the corresponding Cartan matrix. There exist unique positive integers $d_i,\ i = 1,\ldots,l$, such that gcd $(d_1,\ldots,d_l) = 1$ and the matrix $(d_i a_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq l}$ is symmetric. Let k be a field and $q \in k$ such that $q \neq 0$ and $q^{2d_i} \neq 1$ $(1 \leq i \leq l)$. Denote $q_i = q^{d_i}$. The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the algebra over k

with generators $e_i, f_i, t_i, t_i^{-1},\ 1 \le i \le l$, and defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_it_i^{-1} = 1 = t_i^{-1}t_i,\ t_it_j = t_jt_i,\\
&t_ie_jt_i^{-1} = q_i^{a_{ij}}e_j,\ t_if_jt_i^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{ij}}f_j,\\
&e_if_j - f_je_i = \delta_{ij}\frac{t_i - t_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}},\\
&\sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^s e_i^{(s)}e_je_i^{(1-a_{ij}-s)} = 0,\ \sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^s f_i^{(s)}f_jf_i^{(1-a_{ij}-s)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j)
\end{aligned}$$

where as usual $e_i^{(n)} = \dfrac{e_i^n}{[n]_i!}$, $f_i^{(n)} = \dfrac{f_i^n}{[n]_i!}$, $[n]_i! = [1]_i[2]_i \dots [n]_i$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, and $[n]_i = \dfrac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$.

In particular, the following commutation relations hold for $s \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$e_if_i^s = f_i^se_i + [s]_if_i^{s-1}[t_i; 1-s], \quad f_ie_i^s = e_i^sf_i - [s]_ie_i^{s-1}[t_i; s-1] \tag{1}$$

where $[t_i; a] = \dfrac{q_i^at_i - q_i^{-a}t_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As an immediate consequence of the definition of q-integers, we have:

Lemma 1. *$[a+k]_i[b+k]_i - [a]_i[b]_i = [k]_i[a+b+k]_i$ for all integers a, b, and k.*

Denote by $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i (resp. f_i), $1 \le i \le l$.

Henceforth, assume $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2, 3$ and q is not a root of unity in k. We recall few definitions following [5] and [14].

Let $\mathcal{I}(U_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ be the category of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M satisfying (i) M is a weight module, (ii) $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$-action on M is torsion free, and (iii) M is $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$-finite, i.e. e_i acts locally nilpotently on M for all i.

Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. Set $M_\lambda = \{m \in M \mid t_im = \lambda m\}$ for $\lambda \in k^\times = k \setminus \{0\}$, $M^{e_i} = \{m \in M \mid e_im = 0\}$, and $M_\lambda^{e_i} = M_\lambda \cap M^{e_i}$. A module M in $\mathcal{I}(U_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ is said to be complete with respect to i if $f_i^{n+1} : M^{e_i}_{\epsilon q_i^n} \to M^{e_i}_{\epsilon q_i^{-n-2}}$ is bijective for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. A module N in $\mathcal{I}(U_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a completion of M with respect to i provided (i) N is complete with respect to i, (ii) M is imbedded in N, and (iii) N/M is f_i-finite.

Now, we can consider $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$. For brevity, we write $U_q = U_q(sl_2)$ and $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(U_q(sl_2))$. Henceforth, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $[n] = \dfrac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$, etc. Note that the Verma modules $M(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in k^\times \setminus \{\epsilon q^{-n-2} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ are complete and the completion of $M(\epsilon q^{-n-2})$ $(n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ is $M(\epsilon q^n)$. The quantum Casimir element $C = \dfrac{qt + q^{-1}t^{-1}}{(q-q^{-1})^2} + fe$ acts on each $M(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in k^{\times}$) as multiplication by the scalar $\dfrac{q\lambda + q^{-1}\lambda^{-1}}{(q-q^{-1})^2}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the left ideals $I(n,\epsilon)$ and $J(n,\epsilon)$ of U_q are defined as follows:

$$I(n,\epsilon) = U_q\{t - \epsilon q^{-n-2},\ e^{n+2},\ (C-\epsilon c)^2\} \text{ and } J(n,\epsilon) = U_q\{t - \epsilon q^{-n-2},\ e^{n+2}\}$$

where $c = \dfrac{q^{n+1} + q^{-n-1}}{(q-q^{-1})^2}$. We consider the U_q-modules $T(n,\epsilon) = U_q/I(n,\epsilon)$ and $S(n,\epsilon) = U_q/J(n,\epsilon)$, and call $T(n,\epsilon)$ a T module.

Similarly as in the classical case (cf. [5]):

Lemma 2. *Let L be the k-span of $\{f^i e^j |\ j \leq n+1,\ i,j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Then $U_q = L \oplus J(n,\epsilon)$ and $S(n,\epsilon)$ is a free U_q^--module isomorphic to L.*

An explicit description of the structure of T modules is given with the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The U_q-module $T(n,\epsilon)$ is generated by $z = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \epsilon^{n-i} \dfrac{[n]![n-i]!}{[i]!} f^i e^i$. Set $v_{-1} = 0$, $z_n = 0$ and, for $i \geq 0$, $v_i = f^i e^{n+1}$ and $z_{n+1+i} = f^i z$. Then $\{v_i,\ z_{n+1+i} |\ i \geq 0\}$ is a k-basis of $T(n,\epsilon)$. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} tz_i &= \epsilon q^{n-2i} z_i \\ fz_i &= z_{i+1} \\ ez_i &= \epsilon[i][n-i+1] z_{i-1} + v_{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $i \geq n+1$ and $\{v_i |\ i \geq 0\}$ is a k-basis of the Verma submodule of $T(n,\epsilon)$ with highest weight ϵq^n and the usual U_q-action.

Proof. Denote the image of $u \in L \subseteq U_q$ in $S(n,\epsilon) = U_q/J(n,\epsilon)$ also by u (see Lemma 2). We consider the action of t and C on $S(n,\epsilon)$. Since $t \cdot 1 = \epsilon q^{-n-2} 1$, then for $i,j \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ j \leq n+1$,

$$t \cdot f^i e^j = t f^i e^j \cdot 1 = \epsilon q^{2(j-i)-n-2} f^i e^j. \tag{2}$$

Clearly $S(n,\epsilon) = \oplus_{i \geq 0} S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}$ and $\dim S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}} \leq n+2$ for all i. For $0 \leq j \leq n+1$, it follows that $C \cdot e^j = \epsilon c_j e^j + f e^{j+1}$ where $c_j = \dfrac{q^{2j-n-1} + q^{-2j+n+1}}{(q-q^{-1})^2}$ and, since C is a central element, $C \cdot f^i e^j = \epsilon c_j f^i e^j + f^{i+1} e^{j+1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Evidently $c_{n+1-j} = c_j$ for all j. Moreover $c - c_j = [j][n-j+1]$ and therefore $c_j = c$ iff $j = 0$ or $j = n+1$.

Now, we consider $S(n,\epsilon)^{\epsilon c}$, the submodule of $S(n,\epsilon)$ where $C-\epsilon c$ is locally nilpotent. Since $S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}$ is invariant under C for every $i \geq 0$, it suffices to look for the generalized ϵc-eigenspace $\big(S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}\big)_{(\epsilon c)}$ of C in each $S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$, C is given in a k-basis $\{e^{n-i+1}, fe^{n-i+2}, \ldots, f^i e^{n+1}\}$ of $S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon c_{n-i+1} & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ 1 & \epsilon c_{n-i+2} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \epsilon c_n & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \epsilon c_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Its characteristic polynomial is $\mathrm{char}_C(t) = (t-\epsilon c)p_1(t)$ with $p_1(\epsilon c) \neq 0$, and so $\big(S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}\big)_{(\epsilon c)}$ is k-spanned by $f^i e^{n+1}$, an ϵc-eigenvector of C. Similarly, $S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}$ for $i \geq n+1$ has a k-basis $\{f^{i-n-1}, f^{i-n}e, \ldots, f^i e^{n+1}\} = f^{i-n-1} \cdot$ (basis of $S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{-n-2}}$) and $\mathrm{char}_C(t) = (t-\epsilon c)^2 p_2(t)$ with $p_2(\epsilon c) \neq 0$. Since C is central, $\big(S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}\big)_{(\epsilon c)}$ has a k-basis $\{f^i e^{n+1}, f^{i-n-1}z\}$ for some generalized eigenvector $z \in S(n,\epsilon)_{\epsilon q^{-n-2}}$. Note that $z = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i f^i e^i$ with $\alpha_i = \epsilon^{n-i}\dfrac{[n]![n-i]!}{[i]!}$ does the job. Namely, $(C-\epsilon c)z = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \left(\epsilon(c_i - c)f^i e^i + f^{i+1}e^{i+1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\alpha_i \epsilon[i][n-i+1] + \alpha_{i-1}\right) f^i e^i + f^{n+1}e^{n+1} = f^{n+1}e^{n+1}$ and hence $(C-\epsilon c)^2 z = 0$. Therefore, a k-basis of $S(n,\epsilon)^{\epsilon c}$ is

$$\{f^i e^{n+1},\ f^i z \,|\, i \geq 0\}. \tag{4}$$

Consequently,

$$(C-\epsilon c)^2 \equiv 0 \text{ on } S(n,\epsilon)^{\epsilon c}. \tag{5}$$

The action of e on the basis vectors from (4) follows from (2) and commutation relations (1). Namely, $ef^i e^j = f^i e^{j+1} - \epsilon[i][n+1+i-2j]f^{i-1}e^j$ for $i \geq 0$, $0 \leq j \leq n+1$ (here: $f^{-1} = 0$) and in addition, by Lemma 1 and the definition of α_i, $ef^j z = -\epsilon[j][n+1+j]f^{j-1}z + f^{n+j}e^{n+1}$ for $j \geq 0$. In particular, $ef^i e^{n+1} = \epsilon[i][n-i+1]f^{i-1}e^{n+1}$ for $i \geq 0$ and $ez = f^n e^{n+1}$.

Denote the image of $1 \in U_q$ in $T(n,\epsilon) = U_q/I(n,\epsilon)$ also by 1. Similarly as in [5], observe $J(n,\epsilon) \subseteq I(n,\epsilon)$ and let $\varphi : S(n,\epsilon) \to T(n,\epsilon)$ be the induced U_q-module homomorphism with $\varphi(1) = 1$. Note that φ induces a surjection $\Phi : S(n,\epsilon)^{\epsilon c} \to T(n,\epsilon)$ because $C - \epsilon c$ is locally nilpotent on $T(n,\epsilon)$. Due to (5), Φ is injective, thus a U_q-module isomorphism. Denoting the image of $u \in S(n,\epsilon)^{\epsilon c}$ under Φ again by u should cause no confusion. Setting $v_i = f^i e^{n+1}$ and

$z_{n+1+i} = f^i z$ in $T(n,\epsilon)$ for $i \geq 0$ and using the previous calculations, we obtain in particular $ez_i = \epsilon[n-i+1][i]z_{i-1} + v_{i-1}$ for $i \geq n+1$. The remaining claims of the theorem are now evident. $\square$

Schematically, $T(n,\epsilon)$ looks like

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{weights} & & \text{basis vectors} & \\
\epsilon q^{n} & & \cdot\, v_0 \arrow[d, shift left, "f"] & ev_0 = 0 \\
\epsilon q^{n-2} & & \cdot\, v_1 \arrow[u, shift left, "e"] \arrow[d, shift left] & \\
\vdots & & \vdots \arrow[u, shift left] \arrow[d, shift left] & \\
\epsilon q^{-n+2} & & \cdot\, v_{n-1} \arrow[u, shift left] \arrow[d, shift left] & \\
\epsilon q^{-n} & & \cdot\, v_n \arrow[u, shift left] \arrow[d, "f"] & \\
\epsilon q^{-n-2} & z_{n+1}\, \cdot \arrow[ur, "e"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \cdot\, v_{n+1} \arrow[d, shift left] & ez_{n+1} = v_n,\ ev_{n+1} = 0 \\
\epsilon q^{-n-4} & z_{n+2}\, \cdot \arrow[u, shift right, "e"] \arrow[ur] \arrow[d] & \cdot\, v_{n+2} \arrow[u, shift left] \arrow[d, shift left] & \\
\vdots & \vdots \arrow[u, shift right] \arrow[ur] & \vdots \arrow[u, shift left] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The following is immediate.

Corollary 1. *([1, Proposition 3.10], [4, Proposition 4.3]) The U_q-modules $S(n,\epsilon)$ and $T(n,\epsilon)$ belong to the category $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}\left(U_q(sl_2)\right)$, $T(n,\epsilon) \cong S(n,\epsilon)^{\epsilon c}$, $T(n,\epsilon)$ is complete and indecomposable, and there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to M(\epsilon q^n) \to T(n,\epsilon) \to M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) \to 0.$$

Moreover, if M is a U_q-module in $\mathcal{I}$ such that $M = M^{\epsilon c}$ and $v \in M_{\epsilon q^{-n-2}}$, then the map $x \mapsto xv$ of U_q into M factors through $T(n,\epsilon)$.

Now, notice that $v_{n+1} \in T(n, \epsilon)$ generates the Verma module $M(\epsilon q^{-n-2})$, and consider the U_q-module $T(n, \epsilon)/M(\epsilon q^{-n-2})$.

Proposition 1. *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to V(n, \epsilon) \to T(n, \epsilon)/M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) \to M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) \to 0$$

where $V(n, \epsilon)$ is the irreducible U_q-module of dimension $n+1$ and highest weight ϵq^n.

Proof. This is immediate from the filtration $T(n, \epsilon) \supset M(\epsilon q^n) \supset M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) \supset 0$, the point being that $T(n, \epsilon)/M(\epsilon q^n) \cong M(\epsilon q^{-n-2})$ and $M(\epsilon q^n)/M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) \cong V(n, \epsilon)$. □

The following result will be used only at the end of Section 3 to keep the paper as self-contained as possible.

Proposition 2. *([1, Proposition 3.11], [4, Proposition 4.5]) (i) The $M(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in k^\times$) and the $T(n, \epsilon)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) are precisely all the indecomposable objects of the category $\mathcal{I}$.*

(ii) Every module in $\mathcal{I}$ is a direct sum (not necessarily finite) of indecomposable ones.

Remark 1. In [14], $k = \mathbb{C}(q)$ was used for convenience. No restriction on the field k is needed other than $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$.

3 CATEGORY $\mathcal{O}$ AND RESTRICTED DUALS

Let $\mathcal{O}(U_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ (cf. [1, 10, 14]) denote the category consisting of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M such that (1) M is a weight module, i.e. $M = \oplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_\omega$ for some $\Omega \subset (k^\times)^l$, (2) $\dim M_\omega < \infty$, and (3) $P(M) \subset \cup_{1 \leq i \leq s} D(x_i)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_i \in (k^\times)^l$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) where $D(x_i) = \{y \in (k^\times)^l | \, y \leq x_i\}$, i.e. weights are contained in finitely many cones.

Evidently $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has an involutory antiautomorphism σ such that $\sigma(e_i) = f_i$, $\sigma(f_i) = e_i$ and $\sigma(t_i) = t_i$. The following is the q-analogue of [7, Proposition 4.6]. Define the restricted dual M^{res} of M in $\mathcal{O}(U_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ by $M^{res} = \{f \in M^* | \, f(M_\omega) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many weights } \omega \text{ of } M\}$ where $M^* = \text{Hom}\,(M, k)$. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on M^{res} via σ:

$$(uf)(m) = f(\sigma(u)m) \text{ for all } m \in M, u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}), f \in M^{res}.$$

Denote M^{res} with this action by M^σ.

As usual the formal character of M is defined as $\mathrm{ch}\ M = \sum_{\omega\in\Omega}(\dim M_\omega)e^\omega$ where e^ω is defined formally to be the basis element of the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\Omega]$ corresponding to $\omega \in \Omega$.

Proposition 3. *Let* $M \in Ob\ \mathcal{O}\left(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\right)$. *Then*

(i) M^σ *admits a weight space decomposition* $M^\sigma = \oplus_{\omega\in\Omega} M^\sigma_\omega$ *with* $M^\sigma_\omega = \{f \in M^* \mid f(M_\mu) = 0 \text{ if } \mu \neq \omega\}$

(ii) $M^\sigma_\omega \cong (M_\omega)^*$ *as* $k-$ *vector spaces*

(iii) $M^\sigma \in Ob\ \mathcal{O}\left(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\right)$

(iv) $\mathrm{ch}\ M^\sigma = \mathrm{ch}\ M$

(v) $M \cong (M^\sigma)^\sigma$

(vi) $M \to M^\sigma$ *is an exact contravariant functor of* $\mathcal{O}\left(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\right)$ *onto itself*

(vii) $V(\omega)^\sigma \cong V(\omega)$ *for all* $\omega \in \Omega$ *where* $V(\omega)$ *is the irreducible* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})-$ *module with highest weight* ω

$(viii)$ *If* $M = \oplus_{i\in I} M_i$ *where* $M_i \in Ob\ \mathcal{O}\left(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\right)$, *then* $M^\sigma \cong \oplus_{i\in I} M^\sigma_i$.

Proof. The statements $(i) - (vii)$ have basically the same proofs as in the classical case (cf. [7, Proposition 4.6], [10, Proposition 2.6.16]).
$(viii)$ Define $N_i = \{f \in M^\sigma \mid f(M_j) = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i\}$. Then $M^\sigma = \oplus_{i\in I} N_i \cong \oplus_{i\in I} M^\sigma_i$. □

Next, we find the restricted duals of Verma modules and T modules in $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}\left(U_q(sl_2)\right)$.

Let $\lambda \in k^\times$. The Verma module $M(\lambda)$ has a basis $\{v_i\}_{i\geq 0}$ where $v_i = f^i v_0$. Then $tv_i = q^{-2i}\lambda v_i$, $fv_i = v_{i+1}$, and $ev_i = [i]\dfrac{q^{1-i}\lambda - q^{i-1}\lambda^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}\, v_{i-1}$ $(v_{-1} = 0)$. By Proposition 3, $M(\lambda)^\sigma = \oplus_\mu M(\lambda)^\sigma_\mu = \oplus_{i\geq 0} M(\lambda)^\sigma_{q^{-2i}\lambda}$ where $M(\lambda)^\sigma_{q^{-2i}\lambda} = \{f \in M(\lambda)^* \mid f((M(\lambda)_\mu) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \neq q^{-2i}\lambda\}$. Since $M(\lambda)^\sigma_{q^{-2i}\lambda} \cong \left(M(\lambda)_{q^{-2i}\lambda}\right)^*$, $\dim M(\lambda)^\sigma_{q^{-2i}\lambda} = 1$. For each $i \geq 0$, define $v^*_i \in M(\lambda)^*$ by $v^*_i(v_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ (the Krönecker delta) for all $j \geq 0$. Then $v^*_i \in M(\lambda)^\sigma_{q^{-2i}\lambda}$, and so $\{v^*_i \mid i \geq 0\}$ is a k-basis of $M(\lambda)^\sigma$.

For $i, j \geq 0$, $(ev^*_i)(v_j) = v^*_i\left(\sigma(e)v_j\right) = v^*_i(fv_j) = v^*_i(v_{j+1}) = \delta_{i,j+1} = \delta_{i-1,j}$. Hence $ev^*_i = v^*_{i-1}$. Similarly, $fv^*_i = [i+1]\dfrac{q^{-i}\lambda - q^i\lambda^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}v^*_{i+1}$ $(i \geq 0)$. Thus $fv^*_i = 0$ iff $\lambda = \pm q^i$. Moreover, if $\lambda = \epsilon q^n$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$, then $fv^*_i = \epsilon[i+1][n-i]v^*_{i+1}$.

Now, utilizing the structure of T modules obtained in the previous section, we explicitly find the action of U_q on $T(n,\epsilon)^\sigma$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By Proposition 3, $T(n,\epsilon)^\sigma = \oplus_{i\geq 0} T(n,\epsilon)^\sigma_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}$ and

$$\dim T(n,\epsilon)^\sigma_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n \\ 2, & \text{if } i \geq n+1. \end{cases}$$

Recall the k-basis $\{v_i |\ i \geq 0\} \cup \{z_i |\ i \geq n+1\}$ of $T(n,\epsilon)$ from Theorem 1. For each $i \geq 0$, define $v_i^* \in T(n,\epsilon)^*$ by $v_i^*(v_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $v_i^*(z_j) = 0$ for $j \geq n+1$. Also, for each $i \geq n+1$, define $z_i^* \in T(n,\epsilon)^*$ by $z_i^*(v_j) = 0$ for $j \geq 0$ and $z_i^*(z_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $j \geq n+1$. Evidently v_i^* and z_i^* belong to $T(n,\epsilon)^\sigma_{\epsilon q^{n-2i}}$ and hence, by dimension count, $\{v_i^* |\ i \geq 0\} \cup \{z_i^* |\ i \geq n+1\}$ is a k-basis of $T(n,\epsilon)^\sigma$.

Since $T(n,\epsilon)$ contains a submodule spanned by $\{v_i |\ i \geq 0\}$ isomorphic to $M(\epsilon q^n)$, we utilize the previous calculations for v_i^* restricted to $M(\epsilon q^n)$. For $j \geq 0$, we have $(fv_i^*)(v_j) = \epsilon[i+1][n-i]\delta_{i+1,j}$. For $j \geq n+1$, $(fv_i^*)(z_j) = v_i^*(ez_j) = v_i^*(\epsilon[j][n-j+1]z_{j-1} + v_{j-1}) = v_i^*(v_{j-1}) = \delta_{i,j-1} = \delta_{i+1,j}$. Thus, for $i \geq 0$, $fv_i^* = \epsilon[i+1][n-i]v_{i+1}^* + z_{i+1}^*$ which is never 0. (Here, we set $z_j = 0$ and $z_i^* = 0$ for $i, j < n+1$.) Similarly, for $i \geq n+1$, $fz_i^* = \epsilon[i+1][n-i]z_{i+1}^*$ which is also never 0. Moreover, $ev_i^* = v_{i-1}^*$ for $i \geq 0$ and $ez_i^* = z_{i-1}^*$ for $i \geq n+1$.

Therefore, $T(n,\epsilon)^\sigma$ looks like

$$\begin{array}{lcccl}
\text{weights} & & \text{basis} & \text{vectors} & \\
\epsilon q^n & & & \cdot\ v_0^* & ev_0^* = 0 \\
 & & & e \uparrow\downarrow f & \\
\epsilon q^{n-2} & & & \cdot\ v_1^* & \\
 & & & \uparrow\downarrow & \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & \\
 & & & \uparrow\downarrow & \\
\epsilon q^{-n} & & & \cdot\ v_n^* & fv_n^* = z_{n+1}^* \\
 & & f \swarrow & \uparrow e & \\
\epsilon q^{-n-2} & z_{n+1}^*\ \cdot & & \cdot\ v_{n+1}^* & ez_{n+1}^* = 0 \\
 & e \uparrow\downarrow f & f \swarrow\!\!\downarrow & \uparrow e & \\
\epsilon q^{-n-4} & z_{n+2}^*\ \cdot & & \cdot\ v_{n+2}^* & \\
 & \uparrow\downarrow & \swarrow\!\!\downarrow & \uparrow & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots &
\end{array}$$

Theorem 2. *Verma modules* $M(\lambda)$ *where* $\lambda \neq \epsilon q^n$ *for* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* T *modules* $T(n, \epsilon)$ *for* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *are self-dual.*

Proof. The first part of the statement follows from Proposition 3 (vii). However, it can also be seen directly from the U_q-structure of $M(\lambda)^\sigma$ obtained above since in this case $M(\lambda)^\sigma$ is a highest weight module generated by v_0^* of weight λ that is U_q^--torsion free, and therefore $M(\lambda)^\sigma \cong M(\lambda)$.

For the second part of the statement, define $v_i^\# = f^i v_0^*$ for $i \geq 0$ and $z_i^\# = \epsilon^n([n]!)^2 f^{i-n-1} v_{n+1}^*$ for $i \geq n+1$. We see from the preceeding calculations and commutation relations (1) that the U_q-action on the basis $\{v_i^\#\}_{i \geq 0} \cup \{z_i^\#\}_{i \geq n+1}$ of $T(n, \epsilon)^\sigma$ is the same as on the basis $\{v_i\}_{i \geq 0} \cup \{z_i\}_{i \geq n+1}$ of $T(n, \epsilon)$ from Theorem 1, and so the theorem follows. $\square$

Remark 2. Inductively,

$$v_i^\# = \begin{cases} \epsilon^i \left([i]!\right)^2 \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} v_i^*, & 0 \leq i \leq n \\ \epsilon^{i-1}(-1)^{i-n-1}([n]![i-n-1]!)^2 \begin{bmatrix} i \\ n+1 \end{bmatrix} z_i^*, & i \geq n+1. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Corollary 2. *For* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *there is a* U_q*-module isomorphism*

$$M(\epsilon q^n)^\sigma \cong T(n, \epsilon)/M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 1, Proposition 3 (vi), and Theorem 2, the following diagram of U_q-modules and U_q-module homomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\theta'", "\cong"'] & T(n,\epsilon) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\theta", "\cong"'] & T(n,\epsilon)/M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M(\epsilon q^{-n-2})^\sigma \arrow[r] & T(n,\epsilon)^\sigma \arrow[r] & M(\epsilon q^n)^\sigma \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative with exact rows. Here, $\theta : T(n, \epsilon) \to T(n, \epsilon)^\sigma$ is a U_q-module isomorphism and θ' is its restriction to $M(\epsilon q^{-n-2})$. Therefore, the induced map $\psi : T(n, \epsilon)/M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) \to M(\epsilon q^n)^\sigma$ is a U_q-module isomorphism, as well. $\square$

Remark 3. Utilizing Proposition 2, we can give a different proof of the second part of Theorem 2. Indeed, the discussion prior to Theorem 2 shows that $T(n, \epsilon)^\sigma$ belongs to the category $\mathcal{I}$, i.e. $T(n, \epsilon)^\sigma$ is a weight module on which e acts locally nilpotently and it is easily seen that f acts injectively. Moreover, by Proposition 3 (v) and (viii), $T(n, \epsilon)^\sigma$ is indecomposable because $T(n, \epsilon)$ is. Now, it follows from the weight space structure of $T(n, \epsilon)^\sigma$ and Proposition 2 (i) that $T(n, \epsilon)^\sigma \cong T(n, \epsilon)$.

The next corollary follows immediately from Proposition 2 and Proposition 3 (viii).

Corollary 3. *The restricted duals of modules belonging both to the category* $\mathcal{O}\,(U_q(sl_2))$ *and the category* $\mathcal{I}\,(U_q(sl_2))$ *are direct sums of modules from the set* $\{T(n,\epsilon),\ M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}),\ T(n,\epsilon)/M(\epsilon q^{-n-2}) |\, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \epsilon = \pm 1\}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS This work is a part of the author's Ph.D. thesis and she expresses her sincere gratitude to her thesis advisor Professor Vinay Deodhar for his support and valuable discussions throughout her time as a graduate student and also for his continued interest and constant encouragement. Moreover, the author thanks Professor Vyjayanthi Chari for a question and the referee for suggestions that have both led to the present version of the paper and are greatly appreciated.

References

[1] Bernstein, I.N.; Gel'fand, I.M.; Gel'fand, S.I. Category of $\mathfrak{g}$ modules. Funct. Anal. Appl. **1976**, *10*, 87-92.

[2] Chari, V.; Pressley, A. *A Guide to Quantum Groups.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[3] Deodhar, V.V. On a construction of representations and a problem of Enright. Invent. Math. **1980**, *57*, 101-118.

[4] Deodhar, V.V.; Gabber, O.; Kac, V.G. Structure of some categories of representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Adv. Math. **1982**, *45*, 92-116.

[5] Enright, T.J. On the fundamental series of a real semisimple Lie algebra: their irreducibility, resolutions and multiplicity formulae. Annals of Math. **1979**, *110*, 1-82.

[6] Humphreys, J. Highest weight modules for semisimple Lie algebras. *Representation Theory I* (Proc. Workshop, Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979), Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 831, 72-103.

[7] Jakelić, D. Structure of some representations of quantum groups, their crystal bases and completions. Ph.D. Thesis, Indiana University, 2000.

[8] Jantzen, J.C. *Lectures on Quantum Groups.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 6, AMS, 1995.

[9] Joseph, A. The Enright functor on the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand category $\mathcal{O}$. Invent. math. **1982**, *67*, 423-445.

[10] Kac, V.G. *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras.* 3rd. ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.

[11] Kashiwara, M. On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras. Duke Math. J. **1991**, *63*, 465-516.

[12] Moody, R.V.; Pianzola, A. *Lie Algebras With Triangular Decompositions.* CMS Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, 1995.

[13] Verma, D.N. Structure of certain induced representations of complex semisimple Lie algebras. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **1968**, *74*, 160-166.

[14] Zou, Y.M. Completions of certain modules over quantum groups. Comm. Algebra **1995**, *23* (7), 2401-2428.